UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,132	10/14/2005	Raymond Hesline	HESL0101PUSA	1661
Heslin Pty Ltd	7590 02/16/201	EXAMINER		
1/23 Monterey	Road outh Wales, 2107	CHAPEL, DEREK S		
AUSTRALIA	outil wates, 2107		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/553,132	HESLINE, RAYMOND		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DEREK S. CHAPEL	2872		

	DENER 3. CHAPEL	2072	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra time of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	offesportuning fluttiber of fillally reju	cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11. Soo attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (	DTOL 324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpilant Amendment (	F 10L-324).
<ul><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all.</li></ul>		timaly filed amondmor	at cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliilled iii a separate,	unlery med amendmen	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		i condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	~ 1 0/36/06) Paper NO(\$)		
/Stephone B. Allen/	/D. S. C./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2872	Examiner, Art Unit 2872		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

First, new grounds of rejection we not set forth in the Final Office action mailed 1/19/2010. The rejections were exactly the same as those set forth in the Final Office action mailed 2/26/2009.

Next, the claims have not been rejected under 35 USC 102(b). The Examiner cited 35 USC 102(b) in the response to arguments section merely to show that prior art that "was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States" is eligible as prior art even if it is the applicant's own work. Therefore, if the date of a prior art reference meets the date requirement under 35 USC 102(b), it is eligible for use under 35 USC 103(a) even if it is applicant's own work.

Finally, the claims were not rejected solely in view of 5,864,428 to Hesline. In fact the claims were rejected in view of Hesline and Zhao, Liu and Hesline, and Pan and Hesline under 35 USC 103(a). Therefore, it is not expected that Hesline disclose all of the claimed limitations. It is the combination of Hesline with the other references that disclose all of the claimed limitations.

The affidavit filed 1/27/2010 is acknowledged. However, the affidavit does not overcome the prior art references and therefore, the rejections of the claims are hereby maintained.

/Stephone B. Allen/ SPE, AU 2872